

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/642,376	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KUCHINSKY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Navneet K. Ahluwalia	<b>Art Unit</b> 2166	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Navneet K. Ahluwalia.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Allan W. Cannon (Reg.No 34,977).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 September 2006
**Time:** 5:00pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

1-3,20- 22, 30,32, 38,49,61, 63, 65 - 66, 68

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**MOHAMMAD ALI**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The interview was conducted to resolve 101 issues and significant amendments were considered that placed the application in condition for allowance. Elements of claims 2 and 3 were incorporated into claim 1 and parallel changes were made for all the other independent claims. The amendments made overcome the Double Patenting Rejection.